

ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT – HEALTHY FOR LIFE FUNDING ROUND – SOUTH EASTERN NSW
IN-CONFIDENCE

PANEL ASSESSMENT OF APPLICATIONS FOR HEALTHY FOR LIFE FUNDING ROUND – SOUTH EASTERN NSW

Organisation Name:
ARIA Rating:

OATSIH Risk Rating:

CORE ASSESSMENT HEALTHY FOR LIFE FUNDING ROUND – SOUTH EASTERN NSW (Application Form Part B)

Assessment criteria	Basis of assessment	Explanation and relationship to Application Form	Explanation and relationship to Application Form	Explanation and relationship to Application Form	Explanation and relationship to Application Form	Explanation and relationship to Application Form	Explanation and relationship to Application Form	Explanation and relationship to Application Form	Comments
Criterion 1	The organisation demonstrates it delivers primary health care services to Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander people.	An organisation that is not a service provider would only be considered if the Aboriginal Community Controlled Health Service (ACCHS) was not able to meet the need.							
	Single application from a provider of primary health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people?	See Page 4 of Application Form for supporting information	No						
	An application from a new consortium where one organisation is a provider of primary health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people?	See Page 4 of Application Form for supporting information	No						
	An application from an existing Healthy for Life funded organisation / consortium proposing a new service (location) to be a part of a consortium?	See Page 4 of Application Form for supporting information	No						
	OATSIH funding?	See Application Form Part C.	No						
	Other Department of Health and Ageing funding?		No						
	None or unknown?	No evidence of funding / services, criteria not attempted.	No						If Yes for this question then the Application will not be considered further.

#31a

**Healthy For Life Funding Round – South Eastern NSW
IN-CONFIDENCE**

CORE ASSESSMENT HEALTHY FOR LIFE FUNDING ROUND – SOUTH EASTERN NSW (Application Form Part B)

Assessment criteria	Basis of assessment	Explanation and relationship to Application Form	CORE criterion	Description Please circle if applicable		Comments
Criterion 2	Demonstrated local community support	See Application Form Part B				
2.1	Percentage of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander clients	(Number of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander clients as a percentage of the total number of clients).	Low <33%	Medium 34 - 66%	High >66%	Enter Total Number of Clients _____
2.2	Operation of service delivery arrangements with other health service providers which contribute to the implementation of <i>Healthy for Life</i>	Formal service delivery agreements comprise MoU or contracts.	None, informal or recent	Established	Well established: includes formal	
2.3	Extent of joint projects undertaken with other consortium members	As a percentage of projects undertaken	Low	Medium	High	
If application is rated "Low" in all of Criterion 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 the Core Criteria have not been met and the application should not be considered further.						
Assessment of core criteria (met or not met)						

**Healthy & Life Funding Round – South Eastern NSW
IN-CONFIDENCE**

		Assessment ratings				Criteria Weighting %	Criteria relationship to application questions	Basis of assessment	Assessment criteria	Comments
		LOW			HIGH					
DETAILED ASSESSMENT - HEALTHY FOR LIFE FUNDING ROUND – SOUTH EASTERN NSW										
Criterion 3		1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	21-25	Comparison of potential demand and the level of access provided by existing services, including assessment of number of clients and COAG priority.	Need of the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander population being serviced		Please choose & insert a number from within the appropriate range in 3, or circle your choice at 3.1 and 3.2
3.1		2		6		10	Confirmation as necessary (from corporate systems) to identify OATSIH and Departmental funding levels with aim to target organisations receiving little, and particularly none from OATSIH.	What is the level of need to increase any existing Commonwealth funding for chronic disease and child and maternal health care, and where appropriate men's health?		10 high need
3.2		2		6		10	Mandatory.	What is the level of need for programs in child and maternal health and prevention, early detection, treatment and management of chronic disease?		10 high need
Assessment of relative need						45%	Insert column total			

**Healthy For Life Funding Round – South Eastern NSW
IN-CONFIDENCE**

		Assessment ratings				Comments		
		LOW	6 – 10	11 – 15	16 – 20		HIGH	
DETAILED ASSESSMENT - HEALTHY FOR LIFE FUNDING ROUND – SOUTH EASTERN NSW								
Assessment criteria	Basis of assessment Capacity of the organisation, including ability to meet and sustain the program requirements;	Criteria relationship to application questions	Criteria Weighting %	Weighted scores for assessment. Please choose & insert a number from within the appropriate range in 4.1, or circle your choice at 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4				
4.1	Responsible management structure and standards; good business controls, monitoring and reporting; accountability and sound financial management.	Draw on available organisational risk assessment profile (OATSIH Risk Assessment Framework).	25	1 – 5	6 – 10	11 – 15	16 – 20	21 – 25
4.2	Capacity of physical infrastructure to meet Healthy for Life requirements.	Lack of accommodation, systems and need for capital works are barriers to participation.	10	2 inadequate	6 able to resolve	10 adequate		
4.3	Availability and effective use of manual and / or electronic information systems		5	1 none	3 sufficient	5 effective		
4.4	Experience in quality improvement and ongoing commitment to improvement of service delivery.		5	1 none	3 sufficient	5 effective		
Assessment of relative capacity			45%					
			Insert column total:					

**Healthy & or Life Funding Round – South Eastern NSW
IN-CONFIDENCE**

DETAILED ASSESSMENT - HEALTHY FOR LIFE FUNDING ROUND – SOUTH EASTERN NSW				Assessment ratings			
				LOW			HIGH
Assessment criteria	Basis of assessment Level of readiness and understanding of Phase 1 activities	Criteria relationship to application questions	Criteria Weighting %	Weighted scores for assessment Please circle your choice at 5.1			
5.1	Readiness and understanding of Phase 1 activities.	Assess recognition of requirements such as workforce (project officer, staff with clinical expertise); governance arrangements (steering committee); use of facilitator (draft work specification) and quality of proposal including supporting information.	10	2 inadequate	6 able to resolve	10 adequate	
Assessment of relative capacity			10%				
Summary comments:							

#29a

**Healthy For Life Funding Round – South Eastern NSW
IN-CONFIDENCE**

**Healthy For Life Funding Round – South Eastern NSW
Assessment Summary Form**

Organisation	Reg. No	Criterion 1 (Overall) met / not met	Criterion 2 (Support) low / medium / high	Criterion 3 (Need) 45%	Criterion 4 (Funding) 45%	Criterion 5 (Readiness) 10%	Total Numerical Score (out of 100)	CO Ranking	Comments